1 2 3 4 5 CARL DEAN EDWARDS, 6 7 Petitioner, 8 VS. 9 NYE COUNTY DISTRICT JUDGE, et al., 10 Respondents. 11 12 13 14 15 16 for ensuring that it is timely. 17 18 19 court will not grant a certificate of appealability. 20 21 accordingly. 22 23 DATED: October 7, 2014 24 25 26 27 28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA

Case No. 2:14-cv-00195-JAD-PAL

ORDER

The court directed petitioner to show cause why this action should not be dismissed because he has not exhausted his available state-court remedies. Doc. 2. Petitioner has not responded within the allotted time. The court will dismiss the action without prejudice.

This action did not toll the one-year period of 28 U.S.C. § 2244(d). Duncan v. Walker, 533 U.S. 167, 181-82 (2001). If petitioner files a new federal habeas corpus petition, he is responsible

Reasonable jurists would not find the court's decision to be debatable or wrong, and the

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that this action is **DISMISSED** without prejudice for failure to exhaust available state-court remedies. The clerk of the court shall enter judgment

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that a certificate of appealability is **DENIED**.

United States District Judge